IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. CR 03-2054
vs.	FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS
TROYCE ALLEN LEWIS,	
Defendant.	

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury:

The instructions I gave you at the beginning of the trial and during the trial remain in effect. I will now give you some additional instructions.

You must, of course, continue to follow the instructions I gave you earlier, as well as those I give you now. You must not single out some instructions and ignore others, because all are important. This is true even though some of those I gave you at the beginning of and during trial are not repeated here.

The instructions I am about to give you now are in writing and will be available to you in the jury room. I emphasize, however, that this does not mean they are more important than my earlier instructions. Again, all instructions, whenever given and whether in writing or not, must be followed.

In considering these instructions,	attach no	importance or	significance	whatsoever
to the order in which they are given.				

Neither in these instructions nor in any ruling, action, or remark that I have made during this trial have I intended to give any opinion or suggestion as to what the facts are or what your verdict should be.

It is your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You will then apply the law, as I give it to you, to those facts. You must follow my instructions on the law, even if you thought the law was different or should be different.

Do not allow sympathy or prejudice to influence you. The law demands of you a just verdict, unaffected by anything except the evidence, your common sense, and the law as I give it to you.

I have mentioned the word "evidence." The "evidence" in this case consists of the following: the testimony of the witnesses and the documents and other things received as exhibits.

You may use reason and common sense to draw deductions or conclusions from facts which have been established by the evidence in the case.

Certain things are not evidence. I shall list those things again for you now:

- 1. Statements, arguments, questions, and comments by the lawyers are not evidence.
- 2. Objections are not evidence. The parties have a right to object when they believe something is improper. You should not be influenced by the objection. If I sustained an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not try to guess what the answer might have been.
- 3. Testimony that I struck from the record, or told you to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.
 - 4. Anything you saw or heard about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence.

Finally, if you were instructed that some evidence was received for a limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction.

There are two types of evidence from which a jury may properly find the truth as to the facts of a case: direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is the evidence of the witness to a fact or facts of which they have knowledge by means of their senses. The other is circumstantial evidence – the proof of a chain of circumstances pointing to the existence or nonexistence of certain facts. The law makes no distinction between direct and circumstantial evidence. You should give all evidence the weight and value you believe it is entitled to receive.

The jurors are the sole judges of the weight and credibility of the testimony and the value to be given to each witness who has testified in this case. In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness said, or only part of it, or none of it.

In deciding what testimony to believe, consider the witness' intelligence, the opportunity the witness had to have seen or heard the things testified about, the witness' memory, any motives that witness may have for testifying a certain way, the manner of the witness while testifying, whether that witness said something different at an earlier time, the general reasonableness of the testimony, and the extent to which the testimony is consistent with any evidence that you believe.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes hear or see things differently and sometimes forget things. You need to consider, therefore, whether a contradiction is an innocent misrecollection or lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail.

In the previous instruction, I instructed you generally on the credibility of witnesses. I now give you this further instruction on how the credibility of a witness can be "impeached" and how you are to consider the testimony of certain witnesses.

A witness may be discredited or impeached by contradictory evidence; by a showing that the witness testified falsely concerning a material matter; by showing the witness has a motive to be untruthful; or by evidence that at some other time the witness has said or done something, or has failed to say or do something, that is inconsistent with the witness' present testimony.

You have heard evidence that Richard Barragan hopes to receive a reduced sentence on criminal charges pending against him in return for his cooperation with the prosecution in this case. Richard Barragan entered into an agreement with the U.S. Attorney's Office providing that if he provides substantial assistance to the government in its investigation of crimes, the prosecutor could file a motion for a reduction of his sentence. Richard Barragan is subject to a mandatory minimum sentence, that is, a sentence that the law provides must be of a certain minimum length. If the prosecutor handling this witness' case believes he provided substantial assistance, that prosecutor can file in the court in which the charges are pending against this witness a motion to reduce his sentence below the mandatory minimum sentence. The judge has no power to reduce a sentence for substantial assistance unless the government, acting through the United States Attorney, files such a motion. If such a motion for reduction of sentence for substantial assistance is filed by the government, then it is up to the judge to decide whether to reduce the sentence at all, and if so, how much to reduce it. You may give the testimony of this

INSTRUCTION NUMBER ____ (Cont'd.)

witness such weight as you think it deserves. Whether or not his testimony may have been influenced by his hope of receiving a reduced sentence is for you to decide.

You have heard evidence that witness Jayme Jeffries has an arrangement with the government under which she gets paid for providing information to the government. Her testimony was received in evidence and may be considered by you. You may give her testimony such weight as you think it deserves. Whether or not her information or testimony may have been influenced by such payments is for you to determine.

You have heard evidence that witness Richard Barragan was once convicted of a crime. You may use that evidence only to help you decide whether to believe this witness and how much weight to give his testimony.

You have heard testimony that the defendant made statements to law enforcement officers and to a confidential informant. It is for you to decide: (1) whether the defendant made the statements; and (2) if so, how much weight you should give to them.

In making these decisions you should consider all of the evidence, including the circumstances under which the statements may have been made.

You have heard testimony from persons described as experts. Persons who, by knowledge, skill, training, education or experience, have become expert in some field may state their opinions on matters in that field and may also state the reasons for their opinion.

Expert testimony should be considered just like any other testimony. You may accept or reject it, and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness' education and experience, the soundness of the reasons given for the opinion, the acceptability of the methods used, and all the other evidence in the case.

You have heard a certain category of evidence called "similar acts" evidence. Here, you have heard evidence of the defendant's prior conviction of a drug crime. You may not use this "similar acts" evidence to decide whether the defendant carried out the acts involved in the crimes charged in the Indictment. In order to consider "similar acts" evidence at all, you must first unanimously find beyond a reasonable doubt, based on the rest of the evidence introduced, that the defendant carried out the acts involved in the crimes charged in the Indictment. If you make that finding, then you may consider the "similar acts" evidence to decide intent, motive and knowledge. "Similar acts" evidence must be proven by a preponderance of the evidence; that is, you must find that the evidence is more likely true than not true. This is a lower standard than proof beyond a reasonable doubt. If you find that this evidence is proven by a preponderance of the evidence, you should give it the weight and value you believe it is entitled to receive. If you find that it is not proven by a preponderance of the evidence, then you shall disregard such evidence.

Remember, even if you find that the defendant may have committed a similar act in the past, this is not evidence that he committed such acts in this case. You may not convict a person simply because you believe he may have committed a similar act in the past. The defendant is on trial only for the crimes charged, and you may consider the evidence of "similar acts" only on the issue of the defendant's intent, motive and knowledge.

Exhibits have been admitted into evidence and are to be considered along with all the other evidence to assist you in reaching a verdict. You are not to tamper with the exhibits or their contents, and each exhibit should be returned into open court, along with your verdict, in the same condition as it was received by you.

A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense, and not the mere possibility of innocence. A reasonable doubt is the kind of doubt that would make a reasonable person hesitate to act. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, must be proof of such a convincing character that a reasonable person would not hesitate to rely and act upon it. However, proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt.

The Indictment in this case charges the defendant with two separate crimes. Under Count 1, the Indictment charges that the defendant committed the crime of possession with the intent to distribute 262 grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base (commonly called "crack cocaine"), a Schedule II controlled substance, within 1,000 feet of the real property comprising a school, that is, Walnut Ridge Baptist Academy, located at 1307 West Ridgeway, Waterloo, Iowa. Under Count 2, the Indictment charges that the defendant committed the crime of possession with the intent to distribute 546 grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance, within 1,000 feet of the real property comprising a school, that is, Walnut Ridge Baptist Academy, located at 1307 West Ridgeway, Waterloo, Iowa. The defendant has pleaded not guilty to each of the charges.

As I told you at the beginning of the trial, an indictment is simply an accusation. It is not evidence of anything. To the contrary, the defendant is presumed to be innocent. Thus the defendant, even though charged, begins the trial with no evidence against him. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to find the defendant not guilty and can be overcome only if the government proves, beyond a reasonable doubt, each essential element of the crimes charged.

Keep in mind that each count charges a separate crime. You must consider each count separately, and return a separate verdict for each count.

There is no burden upon the defendant to prove that he is innocent.

The crime of possessing with the intent to distribute 50 grams or more of cocaine base, a Schedule II controlled substance, within 1,000 feet of a school, as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

One, on or about November 10, 2003, the defendant possessed 50 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base;

Two, the defendant knew he was in possession of the cocaine base;

Three, the defendant intended to distribute some or all of the cocaine base to another person; and

Four, the defendant possessed the cocaine base with intent to distribute it within 1,000 feet of the real property comprising a school, that is, Walnut Ridge Baptist Academy.

If all of these essential elements have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant guilty of possessing with the intent to distribute 50 grams or more of cocaine base within 1,000 feet of a school; otherwise you must find the defendant not guilty of possessing with the intent to distribute 50 grams or more of cocaine base within 1,000 feet of a school.

If you find the defendant not guilty of possessing with intent to distribute 50 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base within 1,000 feet of a school, then you must go on to consider whether the defendant possessed with intent to distribute some lesser amount of cocaine base within 1,000 feet of a school.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER (Cont'd.)

First Lesser Included Offense of Count 1: Possession with Intent to Distribute at Least 5 Grams of Cocaine Base within 1,000 Feet of a School

The crime of possessing with the intent to distribute 5 grams or more but less than 50 grams of cocaine base, a Schedule II controlled substance, within 1,000 feet of a school, as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

- *One*, on or about November 10, 2003, the defendant possessed 5 grams or more but less than 50 grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base;
- Two, the defendant knew he was in possession of the cocaine base;
- Three, the defendant intended to distribute some or all of the cocaine base to another person; and
- Four, the defendant possessed the cocaine base with intent to distribute it within 1,000 feet of the real property comprising a school, that is, Walnut Ridge Baptist Academy.

If all of these essential elements have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant guilty of possessing with the intent to distribute 5 grams or more but less than 50 grams of cocaine base within 1,000 feet of a school; otherwise you must find the defendant not guilty of possessing with the intent to distribute 5 grams or more but less than 50 grams of cocaine base within 1,000 feet of a school.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER (Cont'd.)

Second Lesser Included Offense of Count 1: Possession with Intent to Distribute Less than 5 Grams of Cocaine Base within 1,000 Feet of a School

The crime of possessing with the intent to distribute less than 5 grams of cocaine base, a Schedule II controlled substance, within 1,000 feet of a school, as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

- *One*, on or about November 10, 2003, the defendant possessed less than 5 grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base;
- Two, the defendant knew he was in possession of the cocaine base;
- Three, the defendant intended to distribute some or all of the cocaine base to another person; and
- Four, the defendant possessed the cocaine base with intent to distribute it within 1,000 feet of the real property comprising a school, that is, Walnut Ridge Baptist Academy.

If all of these essential elements have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant guilty of possessing with the intent to distribute less than 5 grams of cocaine base within 1,000 feet of a school; otherwise you must find the defendant not guilty of possessing with the intent to distribute less than 5 grams of cocaine base within 1,000 feet of a school.

The crime of possessing with the intent to distribute a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance, within 1,000 feet of a school, as charged in Count 2 of the Indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

- One, on or about November 10, 2003, the defendant possessed a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine;
- Two, the defendant knew he was in possession of the cocaine;
- Three, the defendant intended to distribute some or all of the cocaine to another person; and
- Four, the defendant possessed the cocaine with intent to distribute it within 1,000 feet of the real property comprising a school, that is, Walnut Ridge Baptist Academy.

If all of these essential elements have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant guilty of possessing with the intent to distribute a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine within 1,000 feet of a school; otherwise you must find the defendant not guilty of possessing with the intent to distribute a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine within 1,000 feet of a school.

You must decide whether the location at which the possession of a controlled substance took place was within 1,000 feet of the real property of a school. The 1,000 foot zone can be measured in a straight line from the school irrespective of actual pedestrian travel routes. The government does not have to prove that the defendant agreed, knew, or intended that the offense would take place within 1,000 feet of the school.

You are instructed as a matter of law that cocaine and cocaine base are Schedule II controlled substances. You must ascertain whether or not the substance in question as to Count 1 was cocaine base. You must ascertain whether or not the substance in question as to Count 2 was cocaine. In so doing, you may consider all the evidence in the case which may aid in the determination of that issue.

If you find the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt under Count 1 or Count 2, you are asked to determine whether the government has proven a particular amount of cocaine or cocaine base was involved in that Count beyond a reasonable doubt. Your answer to these questions must be unanimous.

For your information, one gram equals 1,000 milligrams, one ounce equals 28.35 grams, one pound equals 453.6 grams and one kilogram equals 1,000 grams.

The offenses charged in Counts 1 and 2 of the Indictment involve "possession" and "distribution" of a controlled substance. The following definitions of these terms apply in these instructions:

The law recognizes several kinds of "possession." A person may have actual possession or constructive possession. A person may have sole or joint possession.

A person who knowingly has direct physical control over a thing, at a given time, is then in "actual possession" of it.

A person who, although not in actual possession, knowingly has both the power and the intention at a given time to exercise dominion or control over a thing, either directly or through another person or persons, is then in "constructive possession" of it.

If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is "sole." If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is "joint."

Whenever the word "possession" has been used in these instructions it includes "actual" as well as "constructive" possession and also "sole" as well as "joint" possession.

The term "distribute" means to deliver a controlled substance to the possession of another person. The term "deliver" means the actual or attempted transfer of a controlled substance to the possession of another person. No consideration for the delivery need exist, and it is not necessary that money or anything of value change hands. The law is directed at the act of "distribution" of a controlled substance and does not concern itself with any need for a "sale" to occur.

You will note the Indictment charges that the offenses were committed "on or about" certain dates. The government need not prove with certainty the exact date or the exact time period of an offense charged. It is sufficient if the evidence established that an offense occurred within a reasonable time of the date or period of time alleged by the Indictment.

An act is done "knowingly" if the defendant realized what he was doing and did not act through ignorance, mistake or accident. The government is not required to prove that the defendant knew that his acts or omissions were unlawful. You may consider the evidence of the defendant's acts and words, along with all other evidence, in deciding whether the defendant acted knowingly.

Intent may be proven by circumstantial evidence. It rarely can be established by other means. While witnesses may see or hear and thus be able to give direct evidence of what a person does or fails to do, there can be no eyewitness account of the state of mind with which the acts were done or omitted. But what a defendant does or fails to do may indicate intent or lack of intent to commit an offense.

You may consider it reasonable to draw the inference and find that a person intends the natural and probable consequences of acts knowingly done, but you are not required to do so. As I have said, it is entirely up to you to decide what facts to find from the evidence.

Throughout the trial, you have been permitted to take notes. Your notes should be used only as memory aids, and you should not give your notes precedence over your independent recollection of the evidence.

In any conflict between your notes, a fellow juror's notes, and your memory, your memory must prevail. Remember that notes sometimes contain the mental impressions of the note taker and can be used only to help you recollect what the testimony was. At the conclusion of your deliberations, your notes should be left in the jury room for destruction.

In conducting your deliberations and returning your verdict, there are certain rules you must follow. I shall list those rules for you now.

First, when you go to the jury room, you must select one of your members as your foreperson. That person will preside over your discussions and speak for you here in court.

Second, it is your duty, as jurors, to discuss this case with one another in the jury room. You should try to reach an agreement if you can do so without violence to individual judgment, because a verdict - whether guilty or not guilty - must be unanimous.

Each of you must make your own conscientious decision, but only after you have considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with your fellow jurors, and listened to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not be afraid to change your opinions if the discussion persuades you that you should. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right, or simply to reach a verdict.

Third, if the defendant is found guilty, the sentence to be imposed is my responsibility. You may not consider punishment in any way in deciding whether the government has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

INSTRUCTION NUMBER ____ (Cont'd.)

Fourth, if you need to communicate with me during your deliberations, you may send a note to me through the marshal or court security officer, signed by one or more jurors. I will respond as soon as possible either in writing or orally in open court. Remember that you should not tell anyone – including me – how your votes stand numerically.

Finally, your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and on the law which I have given to you in my instructions. The verdict, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous. Nothing I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdict should be – that is entirely for you to decide.

Attached to these instructions you will find two Verdict Forms. The Verdict Forms

are simply the written notice of the decision that you reach in this case. The answer to each

Verdict Form must be the unanimous decision of the jury.

You will take these Verdict Forms to the jury room, and when you have completed

your deliberations and each of you has agreed on an answer to each Verdict Form, your

foreperson will fill out each Form, sign and date it, and advise the marshal or court security

officer that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

Finally, members of the jury, take this case and give it your most careful

consideration, and then without fear or favor, prejudice or bias of any kind, return such

verdict as accords with the evidence and these instructions.

DATE

LINDA R. READE JUDGE, U. S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. CR 03-2054
vs. TROYCE ALLEN LEWIS, Defendant.	VERDICT FORM - COUNT 1
	Guilty/Not Guilty For grams or more of a mixture or substance as within 1,000 feet of a school on or about
	FOREPERSON
	DATE
•	fendant guilty of the above crime, have above blank space, and sign and date

this verdict form. You must then consider whether the defendant is guilty of

(CONTINUED)

Count 2.

If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of the above charge, have your foreperson write "not guilty" in the above blank space, and sign and date this verdict form. You must then consider whether the defendant is guilty of the first lesser included offense of Count 1.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. CR 03-2054
VS.	VEDDICT FORM COUNT 1
TROYCE ALLEN LEWIS,	VERDICT FORM - COUNT 1
Defendant.	
5 Grams of Cocaine Base w	Possession with Intent to Distribute at Least within 1,000 Feet of a School
We, the Jury, find the defendant, Troy of possessing with the intent to distribute 5	of the crime Guilty/Not Guilty Grams or more but less than 50 grams of a
mixture or substance containing a detectable	e amount of cocaine base within 1,000 feet of
a school on or about November 10, 2003, as	s charged in Count 1 of the Indictment.
	FOREPERSON
	DATE
(CONT	INUED)

DRUG QUANTITY DETERMINATION

If you found Troyce Allen Lewis guilty of possessing with intent to distribute
5 grams or more but less than 50 grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable
amount of cocaine base (commonly called "crack cocaine"), place a check mark ($\sqrt{\ }$) next
to the quantity of the mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base
for which you unanimously find the defendant possessed with the intent to distribute within
1,000 feet of a school:
At least 35 grams, but less than 50 grams, of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base At least 20 grams, but less than 35 grams, of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base At least 5 grams, but less than 20 grams, of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base
FOREPERSON

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant guilty of the above crime, have your foreperson write "guilty" in the above blank space, make the Drug Quantity Determination, and sign and date this verdict form. You must then consider whether the defendant is guilty of Count 2.

DATE

If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of the above charge, have your foreperson write "not guilty" in the above blank space, and sign and date this verdict form. You must then consider whether the defendant is guilty of the second lesser included offense of Count 1.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	No. CR 03-2054	
vs. TROYCE ALLEN LEWIS, Defendant.	VERDICT FORM - COUNT 1	
	1: Possession with Intent to Distribute Less se within 1,000 Feet of a School	
	Guilty/Not Guilty Late less than 5 grams of a mixture or substance Lase within 1,000 feet of a school on or about	
	FOREPERSON DATE	

DRUG QUANTITY DETERMINATION

If you found Troyce Allen Lewis guilty of possessing with intent to distribute less than 5 grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base (commonly called "crack cocaine"), place a check mark $(\sqrt{})$ next to the quantity of the mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base for which you unanimously find the defendant possessed with the intent to distribute within 1,000 feet of a school:

 At least 4 grams, but less than 5 grams, of a mixture or substance containing a
 detectable amount of cocaine base At least 3 grams, but less than 4 grams, of a mixture or substance containing a
detectable amount of cocaine base At least 2 grams, but less than 3 grams, of a mixture or substance containing a
detectable amount of cocaine base At least 1 gram, but less than 2 grams, of a mixture or substance containing a
 detectable amount of cocaine base
 At least ½ gram, but less than 1 gram, of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base
 At least ¼ gram, but less than ½ gram, of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base
 Less than ¼ gram of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base
FOREPERSON
DATE

Note: If you unanimously find the defendant guilty of the above crime, have your foreperson write "guilty" in the above blank space, make the Drug Quantity Determination, and sign and date this verdict form. You must then consider whether the defendant is guilty of Count 2.

If you unanimously find the defendant not guilty of the above charge, have your foreperson write "not guilty" in the above blank space, and sign and date this verdict form. You must then consider whether the defendant is guilty of Count 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. CR 03-2054
VS.	
TROYCE ALLEN LEWIS,	VERDICT FORM - COUNT 2
Defendant.	
	oyce Allen Lewis, of the of the Guilty/Not Guilty tribute a mixture or substance containing a et of a school on or about November 10, 2003,
	FOREPERSON
	DATE
(CONT	INUED)

DRUG QUANTITY DETERMINATION

If you found Troyce Allen Lewis guilty of possessing with intent to distribute a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, place a check mark $(\sqrt{\ })$ next to the quantity of the mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine for which you unanimously find the defendant possessed with the intent to distribute within 1,000 feet of a school: At least 300 grams, but less than 400 grams, of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine At least 200 grams, but less than 300 grams, of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine At least 100 grams, but less than 200 grams, of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine At least 50 grams, but less than 100 grams, of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine At least 25 grams, but less than 50 grams, of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine Less than 25 grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine **FOREPERSON**

DATE